UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,116	01/23/2006	Akimitsu Kakui	046124-5382	4856
, - -	7590 10/30/200 VIS & BOCKIUS LLP		EXAMINER	
	LVANIA AVENUE N		COOK, LISA V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1641	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/533,116	KAKUI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	LISA V. COOK	1641		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and 27-32 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or example. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine.	e withdrawn from consideration.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the confidence of th	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/29/05 & 10/2/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 14-26) in the reply filed on 7/18/08 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 1-13 and 27-32 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/13/06. Currently claims 14-26 are under consideration.

Information Disclosure Statement

- 3. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the examiner on form PTO-892 or applicant on PTO-1449 has cited the references they have not been considered.
- 4. The information disclosure statement filed April 29, 2005 has been considered as to the merits before First Action.
- 5. The information disclosure statement filed October 2, 2007 has been considered as to the merits before First Action.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,116

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 14-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- A. The term "hierarchize" in claim 14, is a relative term, which renders the claim indefinite. The term "hierarchize" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is not clear how the sample will be analyzed with respect to the term "hierarchize". Applicant is advised not to introduce new matter into the claims. Appropriate correction is required.
- B. Claims 15 and 23 are indefinite for being in improper Markush format.

 The Office recommends the use of the phrase "selected from the group consisting of..." with the use of the conjunction "and" rather than "or" in listing specifies. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- I. Claims 14-18 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Norgaard et al. (WO 01/92859 A1).

Norgaard et al. disclose methods and systems for classifying biological samples. Each sample generates a unique spectrofluorometric set of physical parameters. This analysis is utilized to classify (sort) the samples into two or more classes. The classes may be specific to a disease. See abstract, page 3, and page 8. The diseases measured include cancer (malignant tumor). See page 30 line 12 through page 31 line 25. Standard urine samples are measured in figure 1. See page 10 lines 31-33 and page 15 lines 29-33 (claim 16 and 25). The samples are excited with wavelengths and emissions in the range of 200-900nm as recited in claim 18. See page 17 line 16 through page 18. Norgaard et al. disclose sample pretreatment involving pH adjustment and heating. See page 27 lines 9-21. The sample analysis includes three dimensional point assessments. For example, see figures 2, 4, and 5.

Art Unit: 1641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

II. Claims 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over

Norgaard et al. (WO 01/92859 A1) in view of Yan et al. (CN 101281131– Abstract Only).

Please see Norgaard et al. (WO 01/92859 A1) as set forth above.

Norgaard et al. (WO 01/92859 A1) differ from the instant invention in not

specifically teaching multiple sample treatments to adjust pH and heating before

analysis.

However, Yan et al. teach urine and serum detection procedures that include pH adjustment to 11 with sodium hydroxide solution as well as sample heating prior to excitation wavelength of 316-400nm and emissions wavelength of 590-620nm. This invention has no need for oxygen scavenger and inducers. The method is capable of preventing disturbances of background fluorescence/scatter light and has the advantage of simple sample pretreatment process and high selectivity. See abstract.

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ multiple sample pretreatment procedures as taught by Yan et al. in the method of Norgaard et al. because Yan et al. taught that This invention has no need for oxygen scavenger and inducers. The method is capable of preventing disturbances of background fluorescence/scatter light and has the advantage of simple sample pretreatment process and high selectivity. See abstract. Absent evidence to the contrary the use of multiple sample pretreatments prior to analysis is optimization which is deemed obvious. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

9. For reasons aforementioned, no claims are allowed.

10. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Group 1641 – Central Fax number is (571) 273-8300, which is able to receive transmissions 24 hours/day, 7 days/week. In the event Applicant would like to fax an unofficial communication, the Examiner should be contacted for the appropriate Right Fax number.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa V. Cook whose telephone number is (571) 272-0816. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:00 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Shibuya, can be reached on (571) 272-0806.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Group TC 1600 whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Art Unit: 1641

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lisa V. Cook
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1641
Remsen 3C-59
571-272-0816

/Lisa V. Cook/ Examiner, Art Unit 1641